1 2 3 4 5	Michael Newdow, in pro per PO Box 233345 Sacramento, CA 95823 916-427-6669		
6 7 8 9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10 11 12	Civil Action No. 2:05–CV–02339–FCD–PAN		
13 14	THE REV. DR. MICHAEL A. NEWDOW, IN PRO PER;		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Plaintiff, v.		
	THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; PETER LEFEVRE, LAW REVISION COUNSEL; THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; JOHN WILLIAM SNOW, SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY; HENRIETTA HOLSMAN FORE, DIRECTOR, UNITED STATES MINT; THOMAS A. FERGUSON, DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF ENGRAVING AND PRINTING; Defendants.		
	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO PACIFIC JUSTICE INSTITUTE'S MOTION TO INTERVENE		
34 35			
36 37	The Pacific Justice Institute ("PJI") – which "represents religious persons and		
38	organizations" – has moved to intervene in this case.		

¹ PJI Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Intervene at 9:17-18. (Emphasis added.)

Plaintiff is concerned about the PJI's ability to maintain accuracy and truthfulness. For

2 instance, in its Motion, PJI characterizes Plaintiff's Complaint in a completely unwarranted

and inaccurate manner, writing:

A plain reading of the complaint reveals that the Plaintiff's purpose is to eradicate all remnants of religion from public life.²

5 6

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

4

7 This is not only untrue, but the total opposite of what Plaintiff is trying to accomplish. One of

8 Plaintiff's most fervent desires is to have a robust and uninhibited public display of religion,³

9 and nothing in the Complaint indicates otherwise. The rights of Christians and other

Monotheists to freely exercise their religious desires is supported by Newdow as strongly as

are the rights of those in his own Atheistic church.⁴ This lawsuit in no way interferes with

those rights. This lawsuit targets only "the government," which – in terms of religion – is the

antithesis of "the public."

PJI also falsely references this lawsuit as one "aimed at promoting government hostility

toward religion."⁵ This lawsuit is aimed at nothing of the sort. Governmental neutrality –

which is all that Newdow is requesting – is not hostility, and is construed as such only by

those who demand governmental favoritism for the religious philosophy under which they

wish to live. Mr. Dacus needs to understand that "America's dependence on God" is a purely

religious notion, with which millions of Americans strongly disagree. His desire to see

government reflect his (or any) religious view is precisely what the Establishment Clause

21 exists to prevent.

² PJI Memorandum at 5:24-25.

³ Declaration of Michael Newdow (accompanying this Response) at 1:36-37 (¶ 1).

⁴ <u>Id</u>. at 1:38-39 (¶ 2).

⁵ PJI Memorandum at 9:20 (¶ 13).

⁶ Affidavit of Brad W. Dacus at 3:12.

1	The principle of neutrality that underlies the Establishment Clause is the linchpin of our
2	nation's religious liberty, and it has enabled our nation to become the most religiously diverse
3	in the world. Governmental favoritism towards any religious view – such as the favoritism
4	towards Monotheism sought by PJI – is the gravest danger to that liberty.
5	
6	Assuming that PJI will immediately put an end to its mischaracterizations, Plaintiff has no
7	objection to the Motion to Intervene, and warmly welcomes its contributions to the Court.
8	
9	Respectfully submitted,
10	/c/ Michael Novydovy
11	/s/ - Michael Newdow
12 13	Michael Newdow, in pro per
14	First Amendmist Church of True Science
15	PO Box 233345
16	Sacramento, CA 95823
17	
18	Phone: (916) 427-6669
19	Fax: (916) 392-7382
20 21	E-mail:FirstAmendmist@cs.com
22	E-man. a material mat

1	Michael Newdow, in pro per PO Box 233345			
2	Sacramento, CA 95823			
4	916-427-6669			
5				
6				
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9 10				
11	Civil Action No. 2:05-CV-02339-FCD-PAN			
12				
13				
14	THE REV. DR. MICHAEL A. NEWDOW, IN PRO PER;			
15 16		Plaintiff,		
17	v.	i iamum,		
18				
19	THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;			
20	PETER LEFEVRE, LAW REVISION COUNSEL;			
21	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;			
22 23	JOHN WILLIAM SNOW, SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY; HENRIETTA HOLSMAN FORE, DIRECTOR, UNITED STATES MINT;			
24	THOMAS A. FERGUSON, DIRECTOR, BUR	•		
25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		
26		Defendants.		
27				
28				
29 30				
31	PLAINTIFF'S DECLARA	ATION IN RESPONSE TO		
32	PACIFIC JUSTICE INSTITUTI			
33				
34				
35	I, Michael Newdow, declare as follows:			
36	(1) One of my most fervent desires is to have	ve a robust and uninhibited public display of		
37	religion.			
38	(2) I deplore government hostility towards in	religion as much as I deplore government		
39	favoritism towards religion.			

1	(3) I support the rights of Christians and other Monotheists to freely exercise their
2	religious desires as strongly as I support the rights of those in my own Atheistic
3	church.
4	
5	
6	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
7	foregoing is true and correct.
8	
9	Executed on December 5, 2005 in Sacramento, California.
10	
11 12	/s/ - Michael Newdow
14	/s/ - Wilchael Newdow