Michael Newdow, JD PO Box 233345 Sacramento, CA 95823

Phone: (916) 427-6669; 916-273-3798 e-mail: NewdowLaw@gmail.com

September 21, 2007

Office of the Clerk U.S. Court of Appeals Post Office Box 193939 San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: Newdow v. Congress, Case No. 06-16344

Dear Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 28(j) and Circuit Rule 28-6, Plaintiff-Appellant submits this supplemental authority regarding *Fields v. Brown*, \_\_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_\_, Nos. 00-99005 and 00-99006 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. September 10, 2007) (en banc).

Fields involved a jury's consideration of Biblical references brought by the jury foreperson during the penalty phase of a trial. Relevant to the case at bar was Fields' affirmation of the holding in Sandoval v. Calderon, 241 F.3d 765, 776-77 (9th Cir. 2000), that "it is improper and prejudicial for the prosecution to invoke God or to paraphrase a Biblical passage in closing argument in the penalty phase of a capital case." Slip op. at 11984 (emphasis in original).

Fields indicated that a "prosecution's invocation of 'higher law," slip op. at 11984, is impermissible because such a "reference" to God is equivalent to "extra-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Throughout the Brief for Federal Government Appellees, the invocation of God's name in the Motto is alluded to as a mere "reference."

judicial authority." *Id.* Such a view is obviously inconsistent with the basic

argument upon which Appellees in the case at bar have relied -i.e., that such a

"reference" is merely "a ceremonial acknowledgment of the Nation's religious

history and character." Brief for Federal Government Appellees at 44. See also

Brief of Intervenor/Appellee Pacific Justice Institute at 13 (asserting that "the

Nation's religious history ... presupposes the existence of God.").

If "references" to God were merely "ceremonial acknowledgment[s]" and

our history "presupposes the existence of God," there would be no reason to

proscribe a prosecutor's invocation of God's law.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Newdow, in pro per

CA State Bar No. 220444

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

## CASE NO. 06-16344

| I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 21st day of September, 2007, true and correct       |
|---|
| copies of Plaintiff's letter of Supplemental Authority regarding Fields v. Brown, |
| F.3d, Nos. 00-99005 and 00-99006 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. September 10, 2007) (en    |
| banc), were delivered by e-mail to the following individuals:                     |

Lowell Sturgill (<u>lowell.sturgill@usdoj.gov</u>)
Theodore Charles Hirt (<u>theodore.hirt@usdoj.gov</u>)
Robert Katerberg (Robert.katerberg@usdoj.gov)

Kevin Snider (<u>kevinsnider@pacificjustice.org</u>) Brad Dacus (<u>braddacus@pacificjustice.org</u>)

Pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 25-3.3, the undersigned has received a completed and signed Form 13 (Consent to Electronic Service) from counsel for each of the parties.

September 21, 2007

Michael Newdow, in pro per

CA SBN: 220444 PO Box 233345

Sacramento, CA 95823

Phone: (916) 427-6669

(916) 273-3798

E-mail: NewdowLaw@gmail.com