Michael Newdow, in pro per and as counsel 1 CA SBN: 220444 2 PO Box 233345 3 Sacramento, CA 95823 4 916-427-6669 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 Civil Action No. 2:05-CV-00017-LKK-DAD 12 13 14 THE REV. DR. MICHAEL A. NEWDOW, et al; 15 16 Plaintiffs, 17 18 v. 19 20 THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al; 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 25 PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO RESPONSES TO MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER 26 27 28 29 30 DATE: MARCH 7, 2005 TIME: 10:00 AM 31 HON. LAWRENCE K. KARLTON JUDGE: 32 COURTROOM: 33 34 Plaintiffs appreciate Defendants' concerns regarding the proposed Protective Order, as 35 well as the thoughtful and considerate manner in which those concerns have been expressed. 36 If not already clear, it should be noted that the Proposed Protective Order has been requested 37 solely for the protection of the individuals involved. It is not in any manner intended to 38 impede or interfere with Defendants' rights to obtain any necessary information. 39

1	In regard to the need to ascertain custodial status of the parents – or other facts related to
2	standing - Plaintiffs agree that the Proposed Protective Order (to the extent it might restrict
3	Defendants in determining the necessary information) should be expanded. A modification to
4	deal with this issue has been made in the Revised Proposed Protective Order.
5	Recognizing that additional issues may arise related to the pseudonymous filings, an
6	"open-ended" clause has been inserted into the Revised Proposed Protective Order as well.
7	This reflects the belief that counsel will likely be able to agree to terms for most (if not all)
8	such matters without needing to involve the Court.
9	Plaintiffs thank counsel for the Federal Defendants for pointing out that the Proposed
10	Protective Order might actually result in unnecessary disclosures. A clause attempting to deal
11	with this possibility has also been inserted into the Revised Proposed Protective Order.
12	Plaintiffs believe that the declarations and other information provided in their
13	Memorandum suffice to demonstrate that the potential for harassment (and worse) is real and
14	warrants an appropriate Protective Order. Numerous additional affidavits can be provided if
15	the Court believes that is necessary. ¹
16	Plaintiffs agree that the issues of anonymity as they pertain to discovery and similar
17	matters are not yet sufficiently developed to determine whether or not the Proposed Protective
18	Order would unfairly infringe upon Defendants' rights. For this reason, a (likely unnecessary)
19	clause specifically noting that the Order may be revised has been included.
20	
21	Respectfully submitted,
22	
23	/s/ Michael Newdow

23

¹ Plaintiffs' counsel is currently working in Texas, and thus has no access to those materials (located in his Sacramento office) at this time.

1 Michael Newdow, in pro per and as counsel for Plaintiffs 2 3 CA SBN: 220444 PO Box 233345 4 Sacramento, CA 95823 5 Phone: (916) 427-6669 6 (916) 392-7382 7 Fax: FirstAmendmist@cs.com e-mail: 8 9 10 11

	Michael Newdow, in pro per and as counsel CA SBN: 220444 PO Box 233345 Sacramento, CA 95823 916-427-6669			
•	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	Civil Action No. 2:05-CV-00017-LKK-DAD			
	THE REV. DR. MICHAEL A. NEWDOW, et al;			
	Plaintiffs, v.			
	THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al;			
	Defendants.			
	PLAINTIFFS' REVISED PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER			
	DATE: MARCH 7, 2005 TIME: 10:00 AM JUDGE: HON. LAWRENCE K. KARLTON COURTROOM: #4			
	On motion of the Plaintiffs and for good cause shown, the Court hereby ORDERS the following:			

The Plaintiffs herein shall be allowed to proceed in this cause with the use of pseudonyms 2 in place of their true identities. The pseudonyms being used are: 3 (1) Jan Doe and Pat Doe (Parents) (2) DoeChild (a minor child) 4 (3) Jan Poe (a parent) 5 (4) PoeChild (a minor child) 6 (5) Jan Roe (a parent) 7 (6) RoeChild-1 and RoeChild-2 (minor children) 8 9 The parties shall utilize these pseudonyms for these Plaintiffs in all filings with the clerk 10 and the Court, and if any party for any reason deems it necessary to use the actual and true 11 12 name of any plaintiff in any filing with the clerk or the Court, such filing shall be made under seal. 13 It is further ORDERED that the true identities of these Plaintiffs shall be disclosed to the 14 15 counsel for the Defendants, but that said identities shall not be disclosed further except as 16 necessary to ascertain the residency status, taxpayer status, custody/guardianship status or 17 school enrollment status of the Plaintiffs, or other matters upon which the parties can agree. 18 Any such disclosure beyond counsel for the Plaintiff shall be strictly limited to those 19 absolutely necessary to make those ascertainments. 20 Each person to whom the identity of the Plaintiffs is disclosed shall be informed that, under penalty of contempt of this order, they are not to make any disclosure of such names. It 21 22 is recognized, however, that there may be occasions where informing the given person (that the information is related to this case) may actually increase the chance of unnecessary 23 24 disclosure. If the parties agree beforehand, in writing, that this is the case, then such 25 information is not required. When making disclosure, counsel for the Defendants shall provide each person to whom 26 27 such disclosure is made with a copy of this order.

1

1	It is further ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall not be required to be present in open court
2	hearings of this cause and that any and all testimony to be presented by such Plaintiffs may be
3	presented by deposition testimony. All such depositions, and affidavits and pleadings shall
4	refer to these Plaintiffs by their respective pseudonyms.
5	It is the intent of this ORDER to preserve the anonymity of the individual Plaintiffs to the
6	greatest extent possible while affording the parties adequate information to effectively address
7	the issues in this matter. Except where agreement between all parties has been reached, all
8	parties are directed to seek a determination from this Court as to any perceived ambiguity in
9	this ORDER before disclosing the true identity of any individual Plaintiff.
10	Upon Motion of any party, this ORDER may be revised as justice requires.
11	
12	Entered on this day of, 2005
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

1	Prepa	Prepared for Entry:		
2				
3				
4	By:	/s/ Michael Newdow		
5		Michael Newdow, in pro per and as counsel for Plaintiffs		
6		CA SBN: 220444		
7		PO Box 233345		
8		Sacramento, CA 95823		
9		916-427-6669		
10				
1				
12				

13

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
5	
6	Newdow v. U.S. Congress
7	
8	
9	I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copies of
10	
11	(1) PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO RESPONSES TO MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE
12	ORDER
13	(2) PLAINTIFFS' REVISED PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER
14	/ Will and a cond in CT in COOK
15	were/will be e-mailed on this 22 nd day of February, 2005, to:
16	
17	
18	United States Defendants:
19	Craig.Blackwell@usdoj.gov
20	State of California:
21	
22	<u>Jill.Bowers@doj.ca.gov</u>
23	School District Defendants:
24 25	MPott@pswdlaw.com
25 26	TCassidy@pswdlaw.com
20 27	<u>1 Cassidy @ pswdiaw.com</u>
28	
20 29	
30	
31	
32	/s/ Michael Newdow
33	To Michigan I to Wido II
34	Michael Newdow
35	PO Box 233345
36	Sacramento CA 92823
37	916-427-6669