Michael Newdow, <i>pro hac vice</i> PO Box 233345			
Sacramento, CA 95823			
(916) 427-6669			
Rosanna Fox, NH SBN: 17693			
O'Brien Law Firm P.C.			
One Sundial Avenue, Fifth Floor			
Manchester, NH 03103			
(603) 627-3800			
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE			
	tion No. 1:07-cv-356-JM		
Civii Ac	uon no. 1:0/-cv-350-J1vi		
THE FREEDOM FROM RELIGION FOUNDATION;			
JAN DOE AND PAT DOE, PAR	ENTS; DOECHILD-1, DOECHILD-2 and		
DOECHILD-3, MINOR CHILDF	₹EN;		
Plaintiffs,			
V.			
THE CONGRESS OF THE UNIT	FED STATES OF AMERICA		
THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;			
THE HANOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT ("HSD");			
THE DRESDEN SCHOOL DISTRICT ("DSD");			
SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT 70 ("SAU #70");			
	Defendants.		
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR			
MEMORANDUM IN SU			

Plaintiffs, through counsel, submit this Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' 1 Motion for Protective Order. 2

- 3
- 4

## BACKGROUND

This lawsuit has been filed with pseudonyms, rather than true names, for all 5 Plaintiffs except Freedom From Religion Foundation ("FFRF"). As alleged in the 6 Complaint, these pseudonymous Plaintiffs are all residents and citizens of 7 Hanover, New Hampshire. This case involves objections to the use of the words, 8 "under God," in the Pledge of Allegiance as recited in the public schools. Each of 9 the pseudonymous Plaintiffs is a minor child enrolled in a public school where the 10 Pledge is recited, or the parent of such a child. It is believed that disclosure of the 11 actual and true names of either the children or their parents will subject the minor 12 children (and their parents) to potential harm. 13 14 15

## LAW AND ARGUMENT

"Judicial proceedings are supposed to be open ... in order to enable the 16 proceedings to be monitored by the public. The concealment of a party's name 17 impedes public access to the facts of the case, which include the parties' identity." 18 *Doe v. City of Chicago*, 360 F.3d 667, 669 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004). Nonetheless, "[t]he 19 presumption that parties' identities are public information, and the possible 20

1	prejudice to the opposing party from concealment, can be rebutted by showing that
2	the harm to the plaintiff exceeds the likely harm from concealment. Id. In other
3	words:
4 5 6 7 8 9	In cases where the plaintiffs have demonstrated a need for anonymity, the district court should use its powers to manage pretrial proceedings, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), and to issue protective orders limiting disclosure of the party's name, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), to preserve the party's anonymity to the greatest extent possible without prejudicing the opposing party's ability to litigate the case.
10 11	Doe v. Advanced Textile Corp., 214 F.3d 1058, 1069 (9th Cir. 2000).
12	It should initially be noted that the United States Supreme Court has permitted
13	pseudonymous filings in precisely this type of litigation. Santa Fe Independent
14	School District v. Doe, 530 U.S. 290 (2000) (parents individually and as "next
15	friends" to their children challenged prayers at public high school football games). <sup>1</sup>
16	This Court has the authority in its discretion to enter a protective order to control
17	discovery and protect the rights of the parties. Doe v. Porter, 370 F.3d 558, 560-
18	561 (6th Cir. 2004) (upholding lower court's grant of protective order allowing the
19	use of pseudonyms in challenge to religious instruction in schools); Doe v. Stegall,
20	653 F.2d 180 (5th Cir. 1981) (reversing lower court's denial of protective order
21	allowing for pseudonyms. The Stegall court noted that "religion is perhaps the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Subsequently, the high Court decided a case involving a pseudonymous filing where the justification appears to be nowhere near as compelling as in the instant action. In *City of San Diego v. Roe*, 543 U.S. 77 (2004), a police officer who was

quintessentially private matter," and that the plaintiffs' disclosures about their
religion "have invited an opprobrium analogous to the infamy associated with
criminal behavior." *Id.*, at 186).

This Circuit has indicated that pseudonymous filings can be appropriate even 4 when the plaintiffs are adults. Brown v. Secretary of Health & Human Servs., 46 5 F.3d 102, 105 (n.5) (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1995) (where "[t]he district court granted named 6 plaintiffs leave to use pseudomyms in order to protect their privacy," apparently 7 due solely to the plaintiffs having low income, necessitating AFDC benefits.) 8 When children are involved, the need for protection is obviously greater. In fact, 9 this was discussed relative to a statutory prohibition in United States v. Three 10 Juveniles, 61 F.3d 86 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1995). 11 A sister circuit has looked at this matter in some detail. Holding "that a party 12 may preserve his or her anonymity in judicial proceedings in special circumstances 13 when the party's need for anonymity outweighs prejudice to the opposing party 14 and the public's interest in knowing the party's identity," Advanced Textile, 214 15 F.3d at 1068, the Ninth Circuit found three factors to be of consequence: 16 [I]n cases where, as here, pseudonyms are used to shield the anonymous party 17 from retaliation, the district court should determine the need for anonymity by 18

evaluating the following factors: (1) the severity of the threatened harm, (2) the reasonableness of the anonymous party's fears, and (3) the anonymous party's

21 vulnerability to such retaliation.

terminated from his job because of sexually explicit videotapes he had made was permitted to file pseudonymously.

1 *Id.* In the instant case, the evaluation of those factors demonstrates that need. Even 2 the mildest threatened harm – harassment of children in the public schools – 3 warrants the requested relief. Additionally – as the attached Exhibits show – the 4 fears are reasonable, and each of the children (and each adult) is vulnerable to the 5 6 harms. Exhibit A pertains to Joann Bell, a parent who had three children enrolled in a 7 public school district. Ms. Bell filed a federal lawsuit to stop school-sponsored 8 prayer meetings. She has written: 9 After I filed the lawsuit, my family and I received numerous threatening 10 telephone calls and letters. These threats promised physical harm and even 11 death to my family members and me as a result of my involvement as a 12 plaintiff in the lawsuit. Many of the telephone calls told me that our home 13 would be burned. I could not even perform such simple tasks as shopping 14 for groceries in the community without being confronted by other persons 15 about the lawsuit. 16 17 Additionally, when she responded to a bomb threat at her children's school, 18 "several school employees circled the car. One of the employees grabbed me by 19 the hair of the head and battered my head against the frame of the car's door." 20 After the family's home "was burned in a fire of suspicious origin," the family 21 moved from the school district "motivated by a grave concern for the safety of our 22 family." Exhibit A. 23 Megan Black – a third grader – was grabbed and yanked by her public school 24 teacher for not reciting the now-religious Pledge of Allegiance. This was followed 25

by more than two years of taunts and harassment by her fellow students, which did
not end until she changed schools. Exhibit B.

Bailey Wood was pushed up against a building by a classmate who made the sign of the cross, was called a "monkey" because she believed in evolution, and was called "stupid" because she didn't believe in God. This apparently all stemmed from the fact that her atheism was exposed when she didn't say the words, "under God," in the Pledge. Exhibit C.

8 "I dreaded the first day of school each and every year," writes Abigail
9 Schweter, because her not saying the Pledge as a child resulted in her being
10 "ostracized" and risked a "confrontation with the teacher." Exhibit D.

Ellen Janowitz was "frequently ridiculed in front of the class" by her teacher, because she could not, in good conscience, recite the entire Pledge. At age 15, she suffered "stares and silence of my fellow students [that] were excruciating [and] are still painful to recall" twenty years later. Exhibit E.

A book written by Professor Frank Ravitch of the Michigan State University College of Law details numerous other cases involving religious outsiders.<sup>2</sup> For instance, the Herdahls were a Lutheran family in a Southern Baptist Mississippi town. When the Herdahl children did not participate in "decidedly Southern Baptist" public school prayers, they were harassed by "[b]oth teachers and

1	students." When the family filed suit to stop this clearly unlawful practice, "the
2	harassment got even worse. Her family received bomb threats. She received a
3	death threat, and the name calling and ridicule worsened." <sup>3</sup>
4	A second story recounted by Professor Ravitch concerned individuals in
5	Alabama. The Herrings were "a Jewish family whose children had been subjected
6	to severe religious discrimination and harassment in school." The children "were
7	physically assaulted by classmates because of their religion; swastikas were drawn
8	on their lockers, bookbags, and jackets; and they were regularly taunted by the
9	other children." The mother, in a sworn statement to the Court, stated:
10 11 12 13 14 15	Every day that I send my children to Pike County schools, I wonder if I am sending them into a war zone The consequences of the school environment on my children's psyches are devastating. My children are growing up believing that America is a caste society and they are untouchables – except for the purpose of getting beaten up. One child suffered "serious nightmares." <sup>4</sup>
16	"Rachel Bauchman, a Jewish high school student, objected to overtly religious
17	songs, which were sung at high school graduations by the high school choir of
18	which she was a member Rachel obtained a court order prohibiting the
19	graduation songs. However, at the urging of parents and some students, the choir
20	performed one of the religious songs anyway When Rachel and her mother got

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ravitch FS. School Prayer and Discrimination: The Civil Rights of Religious Minorities and Dissenters. (Northeastern University Press: Boston, 2001). <sup>3</sup> <u>Id</u>., at 8-9.

 $<sup>4 \</sup>overline{Id}$ , at 9-11.

1	up to leave – Rachel in tears – parents and students in the audience jeered and spat
2	on them." <sup>5</sup>

3	The proposed ORDER provides Defendants with adequate means of		
4	ascertaining the residency status, taxpayer st	atus, or school enrollment status of the	
5	Plaintiffs. Discovery, if needed, can also be accomplished under the proposed		
6	ORDER while preserving Defendants' right	5.	
7			
8	CONCL	USION	
9	Good cause having been shown, Plaintiff	s respectfully request that the Court	
10	preserve the anonymity of the Plaintiffs by entering a protective order.		
11			
12	Respectfully submitted,		
13			
14	/s/ - Michael Newdow	<u>/s/ - Rosanna Fox</u>	
15 16	Michael Newdow, pro hac vice	Rosanna Fox, NH SBN: 17693	
10	Counsel for Plaintiffs	O'Brien Law Firm, P.C.	
18	PO Box 233345	One Sundial Avenue, #510	
19	Sacramento CA 95823	Manchester, NH 03103	
20		,	
21	Phone: (916) 427-6669	Phone: (603) 627-3800	
22			
23	E-mail: <u>NewdowLaw@gmail.com</u>	E-mail: rosief13@comcast.net	
24			
25			

26 January 22, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Id</u>., at 11-12.